

# GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AMRAVATI

No. GCoEA/CRC/W25/4129 Date: 21/08/2025

Ref: Letters from the Controller of Examination as follows:

3. No. GCoEA/EXAM /CRC/ ST-25/497 dated 19/08/2025
4. No. GCoEA/ EXAM /CRC/ ST-25/486 dated 14/08/2025

A meeting of the Complaint Redressal Committee was held on 20/08/2025 at 04:00 pm in response of complaints with above reference. The Committee has recommended following action in response to the complaint and letter of Head and Subject expert of Chemistry and E&Tc Engineering Dept.:

| Sr. No. | Name of the Examination     | Course code & course name  | Nature of Complaint  | Findings of the committee  | Action Recommended  |
|---------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 1       | I Sem<br>B. Tech.<br>Common | SH122 /<br>SH1202 /<br>SHU221<br>Engineering<br>Chemistry /<br>Chemistry | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The marking scheme did not align with the syllabus coverage or the design of the question paper</li> <li>2. Certain questions requiring lengthy and detailed explanations were given the same weightage as short, direct questions, which created imbalance</li> <li>3. In some cases, answers strictly based on the prescribed syllabus were not awarded full marks, while preference was given to alternative approaches not clearly discussed in class material,</li> <li>4. The question paper was too lengthy to complete within the allotted time, which caused unnecessary stress and affected performance</li> <li>5. There were errors in some questions, which created confusion among students during the exam</li> </ol> | <p>Following is the response from the Chemistry department:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The marking scheme is align with the syllabus.</li> <li>2) certain questions are some how lengthy and it has more weightage. Paper set is not imbalance</li> <li>3) All the questions are set according to prescribed syllabus.</li> <li>4) The Question paper was not very lengthy.</li> <li>5) There were no question, which created confusion among students during the exam.</li> <li>6) Only problem is there is not 30% internal choice. (eg option of 18 marks for co marks question paper). But 8 mark of internal choice in a same question paper.</li> </ol> | <p>As per finding No. 2, it shall be conveyed to evaluator(s) regarding following changes into the question paper. And if any student solved both the options in a particular question(s) mentioned below, the best of two awarded marks shall be considered.</p> <p><b>Q 1 c</b> Give the softening of water by Ion Exchange method<br/><b>OR</b><br/><b>Q 1 c</b> Give the softening of water by Zeolite method</p> <p><b>Q 2 a</b> How to determine the Calorific value of Producer Gas?<br/><b>OR</b><br/><b>Q 2 a</b> How to determine the</p> |



|   |                                |  |   |   |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
|   |                                |  | 6. These issues have resulted in stress, uncertainty, and a sense of unfairness among students,<br>7. Reconsider the marking scheme for the exam.   | After going through the students' letter and Chemistry department's remarks on the complaint. Committee's finding are:<br>3. The complaints are vague and not specify any question, portion in the question paper relating its out of syllabus nature or any difficulty level,<br>4. The internal choice of 16 marks is visible in the paper. But not as per the routine pattern of optional questions.   | Calorific value of Charcoal?<br><br><b>Q 2 b Explain the Ultimate analysis of coal with significance</b><br><b>OR</b><br><b>Q 2 b Explain the Proximate analysis of coal with significance</b><br><br><b>Q4a What are Lubricants? Explain mechanism of Thin Film Lubrication</b><br><b>OR</b><br><b>Q4a What are Lubricants? Explain mechanism of Thick Film Lubrication</b> |
| 2 | II Sem<br>B. Tech.<br>: Common | ETU221<br>/ET1201<br>Basic Electronics | 1. Some questions were found to be outside the prescribed syllabus.<br>2. The question paper was quite lengthy and required more time than allotted, making it difficult to attempt all questions.<br>3. Many students could only manage to attempt about 5 questions despite their preparation,<br>4. A majority of the students appearing for this examination are from the final year, and the outcome of this subject will have a significant impact on their overall academic record.<br>5. This was the last attempt for most | Following is the response from the E&Tc department:<br>A. As per point No. 1, it is not specified that which question(s) are out of syllabus. And all the questions in the paper are from the prescribed syllabus only.<br>B. As per point No. 2 the allocated time for the paper was sufficient.<br>C. The points Nos. 3, 4 and 5 cannot be considered.<br>So, on the overall complaint received no action can be recommended.<br><br>After going through the students' letter and E&Tc department's | No action recommended for this paper.  |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  | <p>students, making the result of this examination extremely crucial for their graduation,</p> <p>6. In light of the above, we kindly request you to consider these issues and evaluate the answer sheets with a lenient and sympathetic approach so that students are not unfairly disadvantaged.</p> | <p>remarks on the complaint.<br/>Committee's finding are:</p> <p>No question is out of syllabus and time allotted for the paper is sufficient.</p> |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

**Committee Recommendations:**

1. It is recommended that the Question Paper Moderation process should be rectified, and these types of complaints should be resolved at the time of paper moderation itself.
2. The Course coordinators/ subject teacher/ subject expert from the department must visit the examination hall(s) where examination of their course(s) is being carried out, within first 30 minutes of examination. To rectify these kinds of queries relating insufficient data and out of syllabus questions etc.

The same is notified to the concerned.



Principal  
Government College of Engineering  
Amravati

- Copy to:
1. Controller of examination, for information and necessary action
  2. Dean (Academics), for information and necessary action
  3. Head of Dept. Chemistry information and necessary action.
  4. E&Tc Engineering information and necessary action.
  5. Coordinator CAC for information and necessary action.